



# LANCASTER COUNTY HMP STATUS UPDATE

April 29, 2024

## Geographic Information Systems (GIS) Data

- Tetra Tech is reviewing the locations of historic structures. The County maintains a much larger list than the National Historic Registry. We are determining which sites to include in the risk assessment.
- Tetra Tech calculated the vulnerability radius for the Tier II facilities with extremely hazardous substances.
- Tetra Tech’s GIS Lead is working with Property Assessment to transfer property/building data needed for the risk assessment.

## Jurisdictional Participation

The following table shows the meetings attended and worksheets completed by each jurisdiction so far. Minimum participation requirements are attending at least one meeting and providing at least one worksheet, though FEMA would much prefer each jurisdiction provide all worksheets.

	PT Kickoff Meeting 9/25/23	HMSC Kickoff Meeting 10/31/23	EMC Meetings 4/9/24	Hazard Evaluation Survey	Cap. Assess. Survey	NFIP Survey	Mit. Strat. Survey
Lancaster County	x	x	x	x			
Adamstown (B)			x				
Akron (B)							
Bart (Twp)							
Brecknock (Twp)				x	x	x	
Caernarvon (Twp)							
Christiana (B)							
Clay (Twp)							
Colerain (Twp)							
Columbia (B)			x	x			
Conestoga (Twp)							
Conoy (Twp)							
Denver (B)			x				
Drumore (Twp)							
Earl (Twp)			x				
East Cocalico (Twp)							
East Donegal (Twp)							
East Drumore (Twp)							
East Earl (Twp)							



# LANCASTER COUNTY HMP STATUS UPDATE

April 29, 2024

	PT Kickoff Meeting 9/25/23	HMSC Kickoff Meeting 10/31/23	EMC Meetings 4/9/24	Hazard Evaluation Survey	Cap. Assess. Survey	NFIP Survey	Mit. Strat. Survey
East Hempfield (Twp)	x	x	x	x			
East Lampeter (Twp)							
East Petersburg (B)	x	x	x				
Eden (Twp)		x	x	x	x	x	x
Elizabeth (Twp)							
Elizabethtown (B)			x	x			
Ephrata (B)		x	x				
Ephrata (Twp)							
Fulton (Twp)							
Lancaster (City)	x	x	x				
Lancaster (Twp)			x	x			
Leacock (Twp)							
Lititz (B)		x	x	x	x	x	x
Little Britain (Twp)							
Manheim (B)							
Manheim (Twp)							
Manor (Twp)							
Marietta (B)			x				x
Martic (Twp)							
Millersville (B)							
Mount Joy (B)		x	x				
Mount Joy (Twp)			x	x			
Mountville (B)							
New Holland (B)							
Paradise (Twp)							
Penn (Twp)		x					
Pequea (Twp)			x	x			
Providence (Twp)							
Quarryville (B)				x	x		
Rapho (Twp)			x				
Sadsbury (Twp)			x				



# LANCASTER COUNTY HMP STATUS UPDATE

April 29, 2024

	PT Kickoff Meeting 9/25/23	HMSC Kickoff Meeting 10/31/23	EMC Meetings 4/9/24	Hazard Evaluation Survey	Cap. Assess. Survey	NFIP Survey	Mit. Strat. Survey
Salisbury (Twp)							
Strasburg (B)							
Strasburg (Twp)							
Terre Hill (B)							
Upper Leacock (Twp)							
Warwick (Twp)		x	x	x	x	x	x
West Cocalico (Twp)							
West Donegal (Twp)			x	x			
West Earl (Twp)							
West Hempfield (Twp)							
West Lampeter (Twp)			x	x			
Cocalico SD							
Columbia Borough SD							
Conestoga Valley SD							
Donegal SD		x					
Eastern Lancaster County SD							
Elizabethtown Area SD				x	x		x
Ephrata Area SD		x					
Hempfield SD							
Lampeter-Strasburg SD							
Lancaster SD							
Lancaster-Lebanon Intermediate Unit				x	x		
Manheim Central SD							
Manheim Township SD							
Penn Manor SD				x	x		x
Pequea Valley SD							
Solanco SD							
Warwick SD		x		x	x		x



# LANCASTER COUNTY HMP STATUS UPDATE April 29, 2024

## Outreach

This section provides a summary of information gathered to date from the online surveys.

### Problem Area Survey

- 34 respondents
- 111 problems/problem areas
  - 1 dam failure (concern about a retention basin failing)
  - 3 concerned about a pipeline release
  - 4 concerned about a hazmat facility release
  - 75 flooding issues
  - 1 invasive species problem area
  - 1 need to repave evacuation route for Peach Bottom
  - 1 concerned about dense population in Lancaster City being more vulnerable to pandemics
  - 3 sinkholes
  - 3 winds/storms have brought down wires.
  - 1 truck accident problem spot
  - 11 other vehicle accident problem spots
  - 4 utility interruption issues
  - 3 winter storm issues

### Public SurveyMonkey Survey

- 39 responses
- 12 reported their homes having been impacted by hazards; only four reported the damages to police/fire department or emergency management.
- 23 problems/problem areas reported

### Stakeholder SurveyMonkey Survey

- 15 responses
- Mostly emergency management/emergency services personnel; some health/medical, human services, academia stakeholders

### Neighboring Community SurveyMonkey Survey

- 4 responses – Chester County DES, Dauphin County DPS, Lebanon County DES, York County OEM
- Two reported being involved in Lancaster County's planning; two reported Lancaster County being involved in their planning.
- Most reported not sharing risk/vulnerability analysis across borders.
- Dauphin County reported that the Conewago Creek Initiative is underway and will benefit both counties.



# LANCASTER COUNTY HMP STATUS UPDATE

April 29, 2024

- York County reported flooding of the Susquehanna River, ice jams, and utilities crossing the border impact both counties.
- Responses indicated little cross-border collaboration during response.

## **Socially Vulnerable Populations**

- Only a couple organizations that service socially vulnerable populations responded to surveys.
- Public survey did not garner response from socially vulnerable populations.
- We will need to develop a strategy specific to outreach to these groups.

## **Next Steps**

- Incorporate problems and problem areas into hazard profiles.
- Conduct another round of distribution of the survey links.
- Develop a specific outreach strategy to reach socially vulnerable populations. This can be direct outreach or through organizations that serve these populations, but we need to show that we are making the effort to involve them.
- Identify municipal authorities.
- Confirm with school districts and municipal authorities if they choose to participate in the planning process or not.